



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/593,151

09/18/2006

Uno Persson

P71452US0

7565

136 7590 09/14/2009

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC  
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.  
SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

MCDUFFIE, MICHAEL D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3632

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/14/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,151	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PERSSON, UNO	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL MCDUFFIE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL MCDUFFIE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Jonathan Scherer. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6131866 to Kesinger and US Pub 20070051861 to Teramachi et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented proposed amendments to overcome the 103 rejection to Teramachi in view of Kesinger. The amendments defined that the screw head is seated in the first cylindrical part, which appears to overcome the 103 rejection. The Applicant agreed to submit the amendments as proposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/J. ALLEN SHRIVER II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3632
--	---